

DCP 266 Working Group Meeting 19

28 November 2018 at 3:00pm

Skype Meeting / Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Matt Johnson [MJ]	ESP Electricity
Mike Harding [MH]	BU-UK Infrastructure
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
George Moran [GM]	British Gas

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Secretariat noted the welcome and apologies for this meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do's and Don'ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the last meeting and agreed that the minutes were an accurate reflection of the discussions held.
- 1.4 The Working Group noted the items on the actions list from the last meeting. Updates on all actions are provided in Appendix A.

2. Review of Modelling Issues Log

- 2.1 It was noted that the Secretariat had received an email and attached spreadsheet from the modelling consultants with respect to some items that they had picked up whilst starting to undertake the modelling for DCP 266. The attached spreadsheet contained five items that required further consideration by the Working Group. In summary, items 1-2 were seeking confirmation on how to implement the change, and items 3-5 were about what should sit in which model, and how model interactions should work. The modelling consultants had requested that the Working Group respond to each item
- 2.2 The Working Group reviewed and considered each of the five items in the spreadsheet during their meeting and the document capturing the amendments acts as Attachment 1 to the minutes.
- 2.3 Whilst reviewing the items contained in the spreadsheet, there was a need for the Working Group to revisit the draft legal text for DCP 266. The Working Group amended a number of paragraphs of the legal text during the meeting. The following summarises the agreed amendments:
 - Revisions to paragraphs 40 to 44 and 46 were made in order to avoid the impression that discounts need to be in p/kWh at the point that they leave the PCDM which was done by replacing references to the applicable Schedules with references to paragraph 46B; and
 - Revisions to paragraphs 28 and 39 were made in order to ensure that the agreed approach is correctly enacted within the text which is that rather than applying the use of a scaler by voltage level the Working Group will instead use a total units scaler across all voltages, based on total units distributed in 2007/08 and total units distributed in the charging year.

2.4 Two versions of the amended legal text are attached to these minutes and are provided as follows:

- Attachment 2 is inclusive of the tracking of deleted words that had previously inserted (e.g. red line on red line) and comments denoting the paragraphs that were amended during the meeting; and
- Attachment 3 is a clean version of the above where comments have been removed and where previous insertions that have now been deleted do not appear as red line on red line.

2.5 During the Working Group meeting, members questioned what the calculations set out under paragraph 46 of the draft legal text are actually doing. The Working Group took an action to review these calculations, specifically whether the 'S' and 'U' are needed as part of the following " $P / (S + U)$ ". The Working Group noted that this area was not something highlighted by the modelling consultant and as such would undertake the action in isolation to the modelling request.

ACTION: 19/01 – Working Group to review what the calculations are doing as set out under paragraph 46 of the draft legal text. Specifically, whether the 'S' and 'U' are needed as part of the following " $P / (S + U)$ ". It is noted that this area was not something highlighted by the modelling consultant and as such will be undertaken in isolation to the modelling request.

3. Next steps and Work Plan

3.1 The Working Group did not review the Work Plan, but it is noted that the Secretariat had provided an updated version to the Working Group via email on 18 November 2018 and that version of the Work Plan acts as Attachment 4 to the minutes.

4. Any Other Business

4.1 There were no items of any other business and the Chair closed the meeting.

5. List of Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 266 Model Issues Log (Working Group Comments Included)
- Attachment 2 – DCP 266 Draft Legal Text (Red-lined)
- Attachment 3 – DCP 266 Draft Legal Text (Clean)
- Attachment 4 – DCP 266 Work Plan (Updated)

Appendix A – Actions

NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
13/01	<p>Complete the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Legal text is to be issued with the minutes 2) Confirm internally or with the Panel how the Working Group is to deal with the modelling support transition period as there needs to be some direction with regards to in-flight changes that have already had modelling completed 3) Separate out the actions into an Action/Log of issues. 4) Align the themes from the consultation responses to the above log. 5) Chase DNOs regarding the EHV boundary definition action. 6) Pull together a before and after of the modelling being clear to explain how the group got from the old to the new and how this relates to the issues highlighted by Parties. 	ElectraLink	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Completed 2) Completed: Provided the Panel's view which is that inflight modelling requests should be issued to CEPA/TNEI 3) Completed 4) Completed 5) Completed: 6 responses received 6) Ongoing (consideration needed for this item due to reverting back to current PCDM set up)
19/01	<p>Review what the calculations are doing as set out under paragraph 46 of the draft legal text. Specifically, whether the 'S' and 'U' are needed as part of the following "P / (S + U)". It is noted that this area was not something highlighted by the modelling consultant and as such will be undertaken in isolation to the modelling request.</p>	Working Group	

CLOSED ACTIONS

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
14/04	<p>Ensure that the legal text for paragraphs 24 and 25 of Schedule 29 is explicit in its instruction to use 2007/08 data. The Working Group is to ensure that this instruction is clearly explained within the next consultation document.</p>	Working Group	<p>03/07/2018 – Ongoing</p> <p>26/09/2018 – Ongoing (legal text updated but text inclusion for consultation document still required)</p>

			11/10/2018 – Ongoing 28/11/2018 – Completed: AE provided updated consultation document via email on 06 November 2018.
18/01	Amend the draft consultation document in line with discussions during the meeting, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove points (a) to (e) under paragraph 3.3 and replace with text from Schedule 29, specifically (a) to (f) Amend references to ATW to all-the-way throughout the document Copy the questions throughout the document into section 10	ElectraLink	28/11/2018 – Completed: Secretariat provided updated consultation document with minutes of meeting 18.
18/02	Provide a template to the Secretariat for all DNOs to populate with data from EDCM table 1183 from their 2018/19 models to enable the working group to understand the number of customers who will be impacted by LDNO discounts being capped under the proposed solution for DCP 266.	Andrew Enzor	28/11/2018 – Completed: AE provided template to Secretariat via email on 11 October 2018.
18/03	Circulate the EDCM table 1183 template to DNOs and ask them to populate with data from their 2018/19 models to enable the working group to understand the number of customers who will be impacted by LDNO discounts being capped under the proposed solution for DCP 266.	ElectraLink	28/11/2018 – Completed: Secretariat issued update email on 19 November 2018 requesting DNOs to populate template.
18/04	Amend paragraph 4.25 of the consultation document to relate it to the diagram that has been inserted with respect to the circularity.	Andrew Enzor	28/11/2018 – Completed: AE provided updated consultation document via email on 06 November 2018.